


COMPARATIVE STUDY IN ROAD ENGINEERING

SOIL TREATMENT VS UNBOUND GRANULAR MATERIALS

Graphic method for environmental and economic comparison



COMPARATIVE STUDY IN ROAD ENGINEERING

SOIL TREATMENT VS UNBOUND GRANULAR MATERIALS

Graphic method for environmental
and economic comparison

This document was written by Joseph ABDO, road manager - CIMBÉTON,
French cement association, and approved by the following Working Group:

Ludovic CASABIEL	CIMENTS VICAT
David CUINET	LAFARGE CEMENTS
Frédéric DIDIER	HOLCIM CEMENTS
Patrick DUBOIS	CIMENTS CALCIA
Antoine GARRIDO	CIMENTS CALCIA
Jean-Christophe REDON	LAFARGE CEMENTS

Foreword

● To build roads, motorways, airport areas or any other area development for industrial, commercial or logistical use, prior design and building of a specific road transport platform of minimum bearing capacity is necessary, allowing construction of the actual pavement structure.

Building the specific platform, which relates to road earthworks, consists in carrying out levelling works (cuttings and embankments) and building a structural layer referred to as «capping layer».

Cutting, embankment and capping layer works may be performed using one of the two following techniques:

- **The technique of unbound granular materials**, which consists in using granular materials from gravel pits or quarries,
- **The technique of in situ Soil treatment with hydraulic binders**, which consists in adding value to natural soils (existing on the construction site) by mixing them with hydraulic binder and water.

These two techniques have advantages and drawbacks, both at economic and environmental level.

The technique of unbound granular materials, that uses granular materials whose extraction and production have low economic and environmental impact, may be hindered by the impact generated by:

- transport of aggregates (a heavy bulk product), when the distance between the quarry and the construction site exceeds a certain threshold.
- transport of surplus soil between the construction site and the tip.

The technique of in situ Soil treatment with hydraulic binders requires the use of a hydraulic binder whose production has a non-negligible economic and environmental impact. However, this binder is used in low proportion and the quantities produced and transported are small compared to the quantities of unbound granular materials.

Therefore, depending on the context of each project (distance between quarry and construction site, construction site and tip, binder proportion, distance between binder plant and construction site), one of these two techniques may prove to be economically and/or environmentally more valuable.

This manual, entitled «Comparative study in road engineering - Soil treatment vs Unbound granular materials» provides a graphical method that helps determine and compare economic or environmental indicators (Energy and CO₂).

It has a dual advantage:

- it allows users to choose the values of parameters at each stage of the study, depending on the local data of their projects,
- it allows users to quickly and visually assess and compare, in a cumulative progression, which of the two techniques, Soil Treatment or Unbound granular materials, is most appropriate, economically and/or environmentally.

It takes into account the production impact (binder, aggregates), transport impact (binder, aggregates, surplus soil) and materials placement impact (Treated soil, Unbound granular materials).

It does not take into account some factors which would have given advantage to Treated soil technique : the cost of tipping, the cost to rehabilitate local road networks which would be damaged by the traffic generated by the construction site (materials transport) and the social cost, related to this traffic (risks of accidents, disturbances,...).

In this document you will find a series of diagrams that may be photocopied, as often as needed, for users to carry out specific studies.

The Economic diagram is adapted from the abacus published in appendix 4 of the technical guide «Soil treatment with lime and hydraulic binders» (GTS – SETRA/LCPC – 2000). The Environmental diagrams (Energy and CO₂) were made using the same method but are previously unpublished.

We feel sure that the methodology that we have elaborated will help you efficiently make the choices you need for your road earthworks projects.

Joseph ABDO
Road manager - CIMBÉTON



Contents

I - Fundamental principles of the graphic comparison method	7
1.1 - The 3 comparative graphs	8
1.1.1 - Economic comparison graph	8
1.1.2 - Environmental - Energy Indicator Comparison Graph	8
1.1.3 - Environmental - CO ₂ Indicator Comparison Graph	9
1.2 - Division into 2 comparison zones	9
1.2.1 - Zone 1	10
1.2.2 - Zone 2	11
1.3 - Study of Zone 1 – Soil treatment	12
1.3.1 - Quadrant 1	12
1.3.2 - Quadrant 2	13
1.3.3 - Quadrant 3	15
1.3.4 - Quadrant 4	16
1.4 - Study of Zone 2 – Unbound granular materials	17
1.4.1 - Quadrant 5	17
1.4.2 - Quadrant 6	18
1.4.3 - Quadrant 7	19
1.4.4 - Quadrant 8	20
1.5 - Conclusion	21

2 - Economic comparison	23
2.1 - Study of Zone 1 – Soil treatment	24
2.1.1 - Quadrant 1	24
2.1.2 - Quadrant 2	25
2.1.3 - Quadrant 3	26
2.1.4 - Quadrant 4	27
2.2 - Study of Zone 2 – Unbound granular materials	28
2.2.1 - Quadrant 5	28
2.2.2 - Quadrant 6	29
2.2.3 - Quadrant 7	30
2.2.4 - Quadrant 8	31
2.3 - Conclusion	32

3 - Environmental comparison – Energy Indicator	37
3.1 - Study of Zone 1 – Soil treatment	38
3.1.1 - Quadrant 1	38
3.1.2 - Quadrant 2	39
3.1.2.1 - Transport Energy	40
3.1.2.2 - Total Energy (production + transport)	41
3.1.3 - Quadrant 3	41
3.1.4 - Quadrant 4	43
3.2 - Study of Zone 2 – Unbound granular materials	44
3.2.1 - Quadrant 5	44
3.2.2 - Quadrant 6	45
3.2.3 - Quadrant 7	46
3.2.4 - Quadrant 8	47
3.3 - Conclusion	48

4 - Environmental comparison – CO₂ Indicator	53
4.1 - Study of Zone 1 – Soil treatment	54
4.1.1 - Quadrant 1	54
4.1.2 - Quadrant 2	55
4.1.2.1 - Transport CO ₂ impact	56
4.1.2.2 - Total CO ₂ impact (production + transport)	57
4.1.3 - Quadrant 3	57
4.1.4 - Quadrant 4	59
4.2 - Study of Zone 2 – Unbound granular materials	60
4.2.1 - Quadrant 5	60
4.2.2 - Quadrant 6	62
4.2.3 - Quadrant 7	63
4.2.4 - Quadrant 8	64
4.3 - Conclusion	65

5 - General conclusion	71
-------------------------------	-----------

Fundamental principles of the graphic comparison method

1.1 - The 3 comparative graphs

I.1.1 – Economic comparison graph

I.1.2 – Environmental - Energy Indicator Comparison Graph

I.1.3 – Environmental - CO₂ Indicator Comparison Graph

1.2 - Division into 2 comparison zones

I.2.1 – Zone 1

I.2.2 – Zone 2

1.3 - Study of Zone 1 – Soil treatment

I.3.1 - Quadrant 1

I.3.2 - Quadrant 2

I.3.3 - Quadrant 3

I.3.4 - Quadrant 4

1.4 - Study of Zone 2 – Unbound granular materials

I.4.1 - Quadrant 5

I.4.2 - Quadrant 6

I.4.3 - Quadrant 7


I.4.4 - Quadrant 8

1.5 - Conclusion


1.1 - The 3 comparative graphs

This document includes 3 different graphs.


1.1.1 - Economic Comparison Graph



1.1.2 - Environmental Comparison Graph - Energy Indicator




1.1.3 - Environmental / CO₂ Indicator Comparison Graph




1.2 - Division into 2 comparison zones

Each of the 3 graphs presented in this document is divided into 2 zones (Zone 1 in green on the left and Zone 2 in red on the right), where each zone represents a specific technique and is itself divided into 4 quadrants.



■ **1.2.1 - Zone 1**

It covers the left half of the graphs and relates to the *in situ* Treatment technique of materials.




In this zone, the specific and main parameter is the binder that needs to be produced, transported to the construction site where the placement process (spreading in small quantities in the order of 30 kg/m², mixing, spraying, levelling, compaction, curing) is carried through. This enables to obtain a material treated for use in embankments (impact evaluated per m³ of treated soil) or in capping layers (impact evaluated per m² of treated soil).

In Zone 1, it is thus obvious that the comparison study should start with binder proportioning.

■ 1.2.2 - Zone 2

It covers the right half of the graphs and relates to the technique of Unbound granular materials.



In this zone, the main parameter is the equivalent distance, or quarry-site distance + site-tip distance. Indeed the Unbound granular materials technique requires, in addition to extraction, production and placement (levelling, spraying, compaction) of aggregates, the transport of heavy material for use in significant thickness for embankments and in large quantities (on the basis of one ton per m²) for a capping layer, and especially tipping of surplus soil.

In Zone 2, it is thus obvious that the comparison study should start with the equivalent distance.

1.3 - Study of Zone 1 – Soil treatment

This zone is divided into 4 quadrants numbered 1, 2, 3 and 4. Here are the main characteristics of each of these quadrants.

■ 1.3.1 - Quadrant 1

It helps calculate the quantity of binder required per m^3 of soil to reach the performances required for the material treated, within the scope of the project under study.

In this quadrant we see a family of straight lines (going through the origin) that represent various dry densities, corresponding to a wide range of materials that can be found in nature (figure 1).

Thus, for a given project, when the dry density of the soil and the binder proportioning are known, we simply draw a descending vertical line going from the binder proportion digit to the intersection with the straight line of the dry density chosen: the binder quantity per m^3 of soil necessary for Soil treatment can then be read directly on the vertical axis of this Quadrant.




Figure 1: Soil treatment zone – Material dry density quadrant

If, for a given project, the nature of the material to be treated is known but not its dry density, refer to the indicative values of the table 1.

Materials	Dry density
Silt	1,6 - 1,8
Clay	1,7 - 1,8
Sand	1,4 - 1,9
Homeometric sand	1,4 - 1,6
Graduated sand	1,6 - 1,9
Granular soil	1,8 - 2,2

Table 1: dry density of different types of materials

■ 1.3.2 - Quadrant 2

Once the binder quantity per m³ of soil has been determined by Quadrant 1, Quadrant 2 helps calculate its economic or environmental impact (Energy or CO₂).

In this Quadrant, we can see straight lines (going through the origin) which, depending on the graph used, will be either of economic or environmental nature (Energy or CO₂).

Each of these straight lines has an impact value that takes into account production and transport of binder between the plant and the construction site (figure 2).

So, for a given project, when the total impact (production + transport) per ton of binder is known, we simply prolong horizontally the straight line of Quadrant 1 to the intersection with the straight line corresponding to the chosen impact: the impact of the binder per m³ of treated soil can then be read directly on the other axis of Quadrant 2.




Figure 2: Soil treatment zone - Binder impact quadrant

Chapitre 1 • Fundamental principles of the graphic comparison method

If the impact per ton of binder is not known or if the user wishes to accurately determine this impact, considering the local data at hand, the user may refer to the diagram of figure 3.

When the transport distance between the cement plant and the construction site is known, as well as the transport impact in /t.km and the production impact per ton of binder, this diagram helps determine, successively, the transport impact and the total impact (production + transport). This last impact is then transferred on Quadrant 2, which will allow deducing the impact of the binder per m³ of treated soil.




Figure 3: diagram of evaluation of binder impact (production + transport)



■ 1.3.3 - Quadrant 3

It relates to the impact of placement.

In this Quadrant, we see parallel straight lines that correspond to different hypothesis relating to the impact of the placement equipment (spreader, mixer, sprinkler, compactor, grader).

These straight lines were drawn to include the combined impacts of Quadrants 2 and 3: they are thus tilted by an angle of 45° and have ordinates at the origin equivalent to the values of their impacts (figure 4).

As the impact value of the binder per m^3 of treated soil has been determined by Quadrant 2, we simply prolong vertically and upward the straight line obtained, to the intersection with the straight line that represents the impact of the placement equipment: the total combined impact per m^3 of treated soil can then be read directly on the other axis of Quadrant 3.

It is this value that will be considered to compare the impact of the Soil treatment technique and the impact of the unbound granular materials technique, for use in embankments.




Figure 4: Soil treatment zone – Placement impact quadrant

■ 1.3.4 - Quadrant 4

Using a simple geometrical construction (Thales' theorem), this quadrant enables to go from the impact per m^3 of treated soil to the impact per m^2 of treated soil (figure 5).

It is this value that will be considered in order to compare the impact of the Soil treatment technique and the impact of the unbound granular materials technique, for use in capping layers.




Figure 5: Soil treatment zone – Quadrant of total impact (m^3 et $/m^2$)



1.4 - Study of Zone 2 – Unbound granular materials

This zone is divided into 4 quadrants numbered 5, 6, 7 and 8. Here are the main characteristics of each of these quadrants.

■ 1.4.1 - Quadrant 5

It measures the impact of transport for the following materials:

- Unbound Granular materials, from the quarry to the construction site,
- Surplus soil (whose volume is supposed, in this document, equivalent to the volume of unbound granular material), from the construction site to the tip.

The straight lines of this Quadrant go through the origin and represent the economic or environmental impacts (Energy or CO₂) of the various transport modes used.

For a given project, knowing the distance between quarry and site as well as the distance between site and tip, we define an equivalent transport distance, i.e. the addition of quarry-site distance and site-tip distance. Once this equivalent distance is determined, and knowing the transport impact per m³.km, we use this Quadrant to read off the transport impact per m³ of materials, as indicated by the figure 6.




Figure 6: Unbound granular materials zone – Materials transport impact quadrant

■ 1.4.2 - Quadrant 6

It measures the impact of extraction and production per m^3 of aggregates.

In this Quadrant we see several parallel straight lines, corresponding to the impacts of various types of Unbound granular materials (rolled aggregate, crushed aggregate, hard rock, soft rock...).

These straight lines were drawn to include the combined impacts of Quadrants 5 and 6: they are thus tilted by an angle of 45° and have ordinates at the origin equivalent to the values of their impacts (figure 7).

As the value of the transport impact has been determined by Quadrant 5 and as the extraction and production impacts are known locally within the scope of this project, Quadrant 6 enables to assess:

- the tipping impact for a m^3 of surplus soil,
- the extraction, production and transport impact for a m^3 of aggregates.




Figure 7: Unbound granular materials zone – Quadrant of aggregates extraction and production impact

■ 1.4.3 - Quadrant 7

It measures the placement impact of Unbound granular materials.

In this Quadrant are parallel straight lines that correspond to different hypothesis relating to the impact of the placement equipment (grader, sprinkler, compactor).

These straight lines were drawn to include the combined impacts of Quadrants 5, 6 and 7: they are thus tilted by an angle of 45° and have ordinates at the origin equivalent to the values of their impacts (figure 8).

As the impacts of extraction, production and transport have been determined by Quadrant 6, and as the placement impact is known locally within the scope of this project, Quadrant 7 enables to evaluate the total combined impacts of tipping per m^3 of surplus soil, and extraction, production, transport and placement impacts per m^3 of aggregates.

It is this value that will be considered to compare the impact of the unbound granular materials technique and that of Soil treatment, for use in embankments.




Figure 8: Unbound granular materials zone – Aggregates placement impact quadrant

■ 1.4.4 - Quadrant 8

Using a simple geometrical construction (Thales' theorem), this quadrant enables to go from the impact per m^3 of granular base layer to the impact per m^2 of granular base layer (figure 9).

It is this value that will be considered in order to compare the impact of the unbound granular materials technique and that of Soil treatment, for use in capping layers.




Figure 9: Unbound granular materials zone – Quadrant of total impact ($/m^3$ et $/m^2$)



1.5 - Conclusion

By applying this method on the 4 Quadrants of Zone 1 and on the 4 Quadrants of Zone 2 we can compare the impacts of the Soil treatment technique and the impacts of the Unbound granular materials technique.

For use in **embankments**, the comparison is made per m^3 of material (figure 10).




Figure 10: impacts comparison diagram – Embankments case

For use in **capping layers**, the comparison is made per m^2 of material (figure 11).




Figure 11: impacts comparison diagram – Capping layers case

Economic comparison

2.1 - Study of Zone 1 – Soil treatment

- 2.1.1 - Quadrant 1
- 2.1.2 - Quadrant 2
- 2.1.3 - Quadrant 3
- 2.1.4 - Quadrant 4

2.2 - Study of Zone 2 – Unbound granular materials

- 2.2.1 - Quadrant 5
- 2.2.2 - Quadrant 6
- 2.2.3 - Quadrant 7
- 2.2.4 - Quadrant 8

2.3 - Conclusion

2.1 - Study of Zone 1 – Soil treatment

This zone is divided into 4 quadrants numbered 1, 2, 3 and 4. Here are the main characteristics of each of these quadrants.

2.1.1 - Quadrant 1

It helps calculate the quantity of binder required per m^3 of soil to reach the performances required for the material treated, within the scope of the project under study.

In this quadrant is a family of straight lines (going through the origin) that represent various dry densities, corresponding to a wide range of materials that can be found in nature (figure 12).

Thus, for a given project, when the dry density of the soil and the binder proportion are known, we simply draw a descending vertical line going from the binder proportion digit to the intersection with the straight line of the dry density chosen: the binder quantity per m^3 of soil necessary for Soil treatment can then be read directly on the vertical axis of this Quadrant.




Figure 12: Soil treatment zone – Material dry density quadrant

If, for a given project, the nature of the material to be treated is known but not its dry density, refer to the indicative values of the table 2.

Materials	Dry density
Silt	1,6 - 1,8
Clay	1,7 - 1,8
Sand	1,4 - 1,9
Homeometric sand	1,4 - 1,6
Graduated sand	1,6 - 1,9
Granular soil	1,8 - 2,2

Table 2: dry density of different types of materials

2.1.2 - Quadrant 2

Once the binder quantity per m³ of soil has been determined by Quadrant 1, Quadrant 2 helps calculate its economic impact.

In this Quadrant, we can see straight lines (going through the origin) which represent the total cost (production and transport) for a ton of binder (figure 13).

So, for a given project, when the total cost (production + transport) per ton of binder is known, we simply prolong horizontally the straight line of Quadrant 1 to the intersection with the straight line of chosen cost: the binder cost per m³ of treated soil can then be read directly on the other axis of Quadrant 2.




Figure 13: Soil treatment zone – Binder cost quadrant

■ 2.1.3 - Quadrant 3

It relates to the impact of placement.

In this Quadrant, we see parallel straight lines that correspond to different hypothesis relating to the cost of the placement equipment (spreader, mixer, sprinkler, compactor, grader).

These straight lines were drawn to include the combined costs of Quadrants 2 and 3: they are thus tilted by an angle of 45° and have ordinates at the origin equivalent to their own values (figure 14).

As the cost of the binder per m³ of treated soil has been determined by Quadrant 2, we simply prolong vertically and upward the straight line obtained, to the intersection with the straight line that represents the cost of the placement equipment: the total combined cost per m³ of treated soil can then be read directly on the other axis of Quadrant 3.

It is this value that will be considered in order to compare the cost of the Soil treatment technique and the cost of the unbound granular materials technique, for use in embankments.




Figure 14: Soil treatment zone – Placement cost quadrant

■ 2.1.4 - Quadrant 4

Using a simple geometrical construction (Thales' theorem), this quadrant enables to go from the cost per m³ of treated soil to the cost per m² of treated soil (figure 15).

It is this value that will be considered to compare the cost of the Soil treatment technique and the cost of the unbound granular materials technique, for use in capping layers.




Figure 15: Soil treatment zone – Quadrant of total cost (/m³ et /m²)



2.2 - Study of Zone 2 – Unbound granular materials

This zone is divided into 4 quadrants numbered 5, 6, 7 and 8. Here are the main characteristics of each of these quadrants.

2.2.1 - Quadrant 5

It measures the cost of transport for the following materials:

- Unbound Granular materials, from the quarry to the construction site,
- Surplus soil (whose volume is supposed, in this document, equivalent to that of unbound granular materials), from the construction site to the tip.

The straight lines of this Quadrant go through the origin and represent the costs (expressed in €/m³.km) of the various transport modes used.

For a given project, knowing the distance between quarry and worksite as well as the distance between site and tip, we define an equivalent transport distance, i.e. the addition of quarry-site distance and site-tip distance. Once this equivalent distance is determined, and knowing the transport cost per m³.km, we use this Quadrant to read off the transport cost per m³ of materials, as indicated by the figure 16.




Figure 16: Unbound granular materials zone – Materials transport cost quadrant

■ 2.2.2 - Quadrant 6

It measures the cost of extraction and production per m³ of aggregates.

In this Quadrant we see several parallel straight lines, corresponding to the costs of various types of Unbound granular materials (rolled aggregate, crushed aggregate, hard rock, soft rock...) expressed in €/m³ (figure 17).

These straight lines were drawn to include the combined costs of Quadrants 5 and 6: they are thus tilted by an angle of 45° and have ordinates at the origin equivalent to their own values.




Figure 17: Unbound granular materials zone – Quadrant of aggregates extraction and production cost

As the transport cost has been determined at Quadrant 5 and as the extraction and production costs are known locally within the scope of this project, Quadrant 6 enables to calculate the cumulated total cost for tipping per m³ of surplus soil and total cost of extraction, production and transport per m³ of aggregates.

■ 2.2.3 - Quadrant 7

It measures the placement cost of Unbound granular materials.

In this Quadrant are parallel straight lines that correspond to different hypothesis relating to the costs of placement equipment (grader, sprinkler, compactor).

These straight lines were drawn to include the combined costs of Quadrants 5, 6 and 7: they are thus tilted by an angle of 45° and have ordinates at the origin equivalent to their own values (figure 18).

As the cost of extraction, production and transport has been determined at Quadrant 6, and as the placement cost is known locally within the scope of this project, Quadrant 7 enables to evaluate the combined total cost for tipping per m³ of surplus soil and total cost of extraction, production, transport and placement per m³ of aggregates.

It is this value that will be considered in order to compare the cost of the unbound granular materials technique and that of Soil treatment, for use in embankments.




Figure 18: Unbound granular materials zone – Aggregates placement cost quadrant

■ 2.2.4 - Quadrant 8

Using a simple geometrical construction (Thales' theorem), this quadrant enables to go from the cost per m^3 of granular base layer to the cost per m^2 of granular base layer.

It is this value that will be considered in order to compare the cost of the unbound granular materials technique and that of Soil treatment, for use in capping layers (figure 19).




Figure 19: Unbound granular materials zone – Quadrant of total cost (/m³ et /m²)



2.3 - Conclusion

By applying this method on the 4 Quadrants of Zone 1 and on the 4 Quadrants of Zone 2 we can compare the costs of the Soil treatment technique and the costs of the unbound granular materials technique.

For use in **embankments**, the comparison is made per m³ of material (figure 20).




Figure 20: costs comparison diagram – Embankments case

For use in **capping layers** the comparison is made per m² of material (figure 21).





Figure 21: costs comparison diagram – Capping layers case

SOIL TREATMENT VS UNBOUND GRANULAR MATERIALS ECONOMIC COMPARISON




To make your own economic comparison studies between the Soil treatment technique and the Unbound granular materials technique, you can simply photocopy the unmarked graph on page 35, add data specific to your study and read the result you need on the graph.

SOIL TREATMENT VS UNBOUND GRANULAR MATERIALS ECONOMIC COMPARISON

TREATED SOIL PLACEMENT COST (€/m³)

AGGREGATES PLACEMENT COST (€/m³)



Environmental comparison – Energy Indicator

3.1 - Study of Zone 1 – Soil treatment

3.1.1 - Quadrant 1

3.1.2 - Quadrant 2

3.1.2.1 - Transport Energy

3.1.2.2 - Total Energy (production + transport)

3.1.3 - Quadrant 3

3.1.4 - Quadrant 4

3.2 - Study of Zone 2 – Unbound granular materials

3.2.1 - Quadrant 5

3.2.2 - Quadrant 6

3.2.3 - Quadrant 7

3.2.4 - Quadrant 8

3.3 - Conclusion

3.1 - Study of Zone 1 – Soil treatment

This zone is divided into 4 quadrants numbered 1, 2, 3 and 4. Here are the main characteristics of each of these quadrants.

■ 3.1.1 - Quadrant 1

It helps calculate the quantity of binder required per m^3 of soil to reach the performances required for the material treated, within the scope of the project under study.

In this quadrant is a family of straight lines (going through the origin) that represent various dry densities, corresponding to a wide range of materials that can be found in nature (figure 22).

Thus, for a given project, when the dry density of the soil and the binder proportion are known, we simply draw a descending vertical line going from the binder proportion digit to the intersection with the straight line of the dry density chosen: the binder quantity per m^3 of soil necessary for Soil treatment can then be read directly on the vertical axis of this Quadrant.




Figure 22: Soil treatment zone – Material dry density quadrant

If, for a given project, the nature of the material to be treated is known but not its dry density, refer to the indicative values of the table 3.

Materials	Dry density
Silt	1,6 - 1,8
Clay	1,7 - 1,8
Sand	1,4 - 1,9
Homeometric sand	1,4 - 1,6
Graduated sand	1,6 - 1,9
Granular soil	1,8 - 2,2

Table 3: dry density of different types of materials

■ 3.1.2 - Quadrant 2

Once the binder quantity per m³ of soil has been determined by Quadrant 1, Quadrant 2 helps calculate its Energy impact.

In this Quadrant, we can see straight lines (going through the origin) which represent the Energy impact (production + transport) for a ton of binder (figure 23).

So, for a given project, when the total Energy (production + transport) per ton of binder is known, we simply prolong horizontally the straight line of Quadrant 1 to the intersection with the straight line corresponding to the chosen Energy: the Energy of the binder per m³ of treated soil can then be read directly on the other axis of Quadrant 2.




Figure 23: Soil treatment zone – Binder Energy quadrant

If the total energy per ton of binder is not known or if the user wishes to accurately determine this energy with the local data at hand, he may refer to the diagram of figure 24.




Figure 24: diagram of evaluation of binder Energy (production + transport)

When the transport distance between the cement plant and the construction site is known, as well as the transport energy of binder in $Mj/t.km$ and the production energy for a ton of binder, this diagram helps determine, successively, the transport energy and the total energy. The total energy is then transferred on Quadrant 2, which will allow deducing the energy of the binder per m^3 of treated soil.

3.1.2.1 - Transport Energy

When the binder transport energy in $Mj/t.km$ is not known, the user is able to calculate it using the following formula: moyen de la formule suivante :

$$F \text{ (Energy, D)} = \frac{\text{Consumption per 100 km} \times 35}{\text{Truck load capacity} \times 100}$$

With:

Consumption per 100 km

- 16-ton truck: 29 litres of fuel
- 29-ton truck: 36 litres of fuel
- 40-ton truck: 40 litres of fuel

Truck load capacity

- 16-ton truck: 8-ton load capacity
- 29-ton truck: 16-ton load capacity
- 40-ton truck: 20-ton load capacity

Coefficient 35: this is the quantity of energy (in Mj) released by the combustion of a litre of fuel

Coefficient 100: for 100 km

3.1.2.2 - Binder production Energy

When the production energy per ton of binder is not known, we can use the values given as an indication in the table 4.

Binder	Energy consumption (Mj/t binder)
CEM I	5 930*
CEM II	4 395*
Hydraulic Road Binder HRB 70% Slag	2 636*
Hydraulic Road Binder HRB 50% Slag	3 459*
Hydraulic Road Binder HRB 30% Slag	4 282*
Hydraulic Road Binder HRB 30% Limestone	3 856*
Hydraulic Road Binder HRB 30% Fly Ash	3 887*
Quicklime	4 301**

* Source: ATILH

** Source: Union des Producteurs de Chaux

Table 4: Binder production Energy

To obtain the right production energy per ton of a given product, we invite you to contact directly the binder's producer.

■ 3.1.3 - Quadrant 3

It relates to the Energy consumed during placement.

In this Quadrant we see parallel straight lines that correspond to different hypothesis relating to the energies consumed by the placement equipment (spreader, mixer, sprinkler, compactor, grader).

These straight lines were drawn to include the combined energies of Quadrants 2 and 3: they are thus tilted by an angle of 45° and have ordinates at the origin equivalent to their own values (figure 25).

As the energy value of the binder per m³ of treated soil has been determined by Quadrant 2, we simply prolong vertically and upward the straight line obtained, to the intersection with the straight line that represents the energy of the placement equipment: the total combined energy per m³ of treated soil can then be read directly on the other axis of Quadrant 3.

It is this value that will be considered in order to compare the total Energy of the Soil treatment technique and the total Energy of the unbound granular materials technique, for use in embankments.




Figure 25: Soil treatment zone – Placement Energy quadrant

If the energy of the placement equipment is not known, we can use the following calculation method:

$$E_{Mj} = 35 L_{\text{Litre}}$$

With:

E: energy consumed for the placement of a m³ of treated soil (Mj)

Coefficient 35: calorific value of a litre of fuel

L fuel consumption of all equipment used for placement of treated soil (for 1 m³). **L** values are given in the table 5.

L	Soil
0,7	Silty/sandy soil
0,8	Clay soil
0,9	Gravelly soil
1,0	Packed and difficult soil
> 1,0	Bouldery soil

Table 5: fuel consumption of all equipment used for placement treated Soil, according to soil nature

■ 3.1.4 - Quadrant 4

Using a simple geometrical construction (Thales' theorem), this quadrant enables to go from the Energy per m^3 of treated soil to the Energy per m^2 of treated soil (figure 26).




Figure 26: Soil treatment zone – Quadrant of total Energy (/m³ et /m²)

It is this value that will be considered to compare the total Energy of the Soil treatment technique and the Energy of the unbound granular materials technique, for use in capping layers.



3.2 - Study of Zone 2 – Unbound granular material

This zone is divided into 4 quadrants numbered 5, 6, 7 and 8. Here are the main characteristics of each of these quadrants.

3.2.1 - Quadrant 5

It measures the Energy of the following materials:

- Unbound Granular materials, from the quarry to the construction site,
- Surplus soil (whose volume is supposed, in this document, equivalent to that of unbound granular materials), from the construction site to the tip.

The straight lines of this Quadrant go through the origin and represent the energies (expressed in $Mj/m^3.km$) of the various transport modes used (figure 27).

For a given project, knowing the distance between quarry and worksite as well as the distance between site and tip, we define an equivalent transport distance, i.e. the addition of quarry-site distance and site-tip distance. Once this equivalent distance is determined, and knowing the transport energy per $m^3.km$, we use this Quadrant to read off the transport energy per m^3 of materials, as indicated by the red line on the graph below.




Figure 27: Unbound granular materials zone – Materials transport Energy quadrant

When the transport energy per m³.km is not known, the user is able to calculate it using the following formula:

$$F \text{ (Energy, D)} = \frac{\text{Consumption per 100 km} \times 35 \times 2.2}{\text{Truck load capacity} \times 100}$$

With:

Consumption per 100 km

16-ton truck: 29 litres of fuel

29-ton truck: 36 litres of fuel

40-ton truck: 40 litres of fuel

Truck load capacity

16-ton truck: 8-ton load capacity

29-ton truck: 16-ton load capacity

40-ton truck: 20-ton load capacity

Coefficient 35: this is the quantity of energy (in megajoules - Mj) released by the combustion of a litre of fuel

Coefficient 100: for 100 km

Coefficient 2.2: density of aggregates

■ **3.2.2 - Quadrant 6**

It measures the Energy of extraction and production per m³ of aggregates.

In this Quadrant we see several parallel straight lines, corresponding to the energies of various types of Unbound granular materials (rolled aggregate, crushed aggregate, hard rock, soft rock...).

These straight lines were drawn to include the combined energies of Quadrants 5 and 6: they are thus tilted by an angle of 45° and have ordinates at the origin equivalent to their own values (figure 28).

As the transport energy has been determined at Quadrant 5 and as the extraction and production energies are known locally within the scope of this project, Quadrant 6 enables to calculate the combined energy of materials transport, of extraction and production of aggregates.




Figure 28: Unbound granular materials zone – Quadrant of aggregates extraction and production Energy

■ 3.2.3 - Quadrant 7

It measures the placement energy of Unbound granular materials.

In this Quadrant are parallel straight lines that correspond to different hypothesis relating to the energies of placement equipment (grader, sprinkler, compactor).

These straight lines were drawn to include the combined energies of Quadrants 5, 6 and 7: they are thus tilted by an angle of 45° and have ordinates at the origin equivalent to their own values (figure 29).

As the energy of extraction, production and transport has been determined at Quadrant 6, and as the placement energy is known locally within the scope of this project, Quadrant 7 enables to evaluate the combined total energy of materials transport, of extraction, production and placement of aggregates.

It is this value that will be considered in order to compare the total energy of the unbound granular materials technique and that of the Soil treatment technique, for use in embankments.




Figure 29: Unbound granular materials zone – Aggregates placement Energy quadrant

■ 3.2.4 - Quadrant 8

Using a simple geometrical construction (Thales' theorem), this quadrant enables to go from the Energy per m³ of treated soil to the Energy per m² of treated soil (figure 30). It is this value that will be considered to compare the total Energy of the Soil treatment technique and the Energy of the unbound granular materials technique, for use in capping layers.




Figure 30: Unbound granular materials zone – Quadrant of total Energy (/m³ et /m²)

3.3 - Conclusion

By applying this method on the 4 Quadrants of Zone 1 and on the 4 Quadrants of Zone 2 we can compare the energie of the Soil treatment technique and the energie of the unbound granular materials technique.

For use in **embankments**, the comparison is made per m³ of material (figure 31).




Figure 31: environmental comparison diagram (Energy) – Embankments case

For use in **capping layers**, the comparison is made per m² of material (figure 32).






Figure 32: environmental comparison diagram (Energy) – Capping layers case

SOIL TREATMENT VS UNBOUND GRANULAR MATERIALS ENVIRONMENTAL COMPARISON - ENERGY INDICATOR




To make your own studies on Environment comparison – Energy indicator between the Soil treatment technique and the Unbound granular materials technique, you can simply photocopy the unmarked graph on page 52, add data specific to your study and read the result you need on the graph.

Diagram of evaluation of binder Energy (production + transport)



SOIL TREATMENT VS UNBOUND GRANULAR MATERIALS ENVIRONMENTAL COMPARISON - ENERGY INDICATOR



Environmental comparison - CO₂ Indicator

4.1 - Study of Zone 1 – Soil treatment

4.1.1 - Quadrant 1

4.1.2 - Quadrant 2

4.1.2.1 - *Transport CO₂ impact*

4.1.2.2 - *Total CO₂ impact (production + transport)*

4.1.3 - Quadrant 3

4.1.4 - Quadrant 4

4.2 - Study of Zone 2 – Unbound granular materials

4.2.1 - Quadrant 5

4.2.2 - Quadrant 6

4.2.3 - Quadrant 7

4.2.4 - Quadrant 8

4.3 - Conclusion

4.1 - Study of Zone 1 – Soil treatment

This zone is divided into 4 quadrants numbered 1, 2, 3 and 4. Here are the main characteristics of each of these quadrants.

■ 4.1.1 - Quadrant 1

It helps calculate the quantity of binder required per m³ of soil to reach the performances required for the material treated, within the scope of the project under study.

In this quadrant is a family of straight lines (going through the origin) that represent various dry densities, corresponding to a wide range of materials that can be found in nature (figure 33).

Thus, for a given project, when the dry density of the soil and the binder proportion are known, we simply draw a descending vertical line going from the binder proportion digit to the intersection with the straight line of the dry density chosen: the binder quantity per m³ of soil necessary for Soil treatment can then be read directly on the vertical axis of this Quadrant.




Figure 33: Soil treatment zone – Material dry density quadrant

If, for a given project, the nature of the material to be treated is known but not its dry density, refer to the indicative values of the table 6.

Materials	Dry density
Silt	1,6 - 1,8
Clay	1,7 - 1,8
Sand	1,4 - 1,9
Homeometric sand	1,4 - 1,6
Graduated sand	1,6 - 1,9
Granular soil	1,8 - 2,2

Table 6: dry density of different types of materials

■ 4.1.2 - Quadrant 2

Once the binder quantity per m³ of soil has been determined by Quadrant 1, Quadrant 2 helps calculate its CO₂ impact.

In this Quadrant, we can see straight lines (going through the origin) which represent the CO₂ impact (expressed in kg CO₂ equivalent) of the various types of binders (figure 34).

So, for a given project, when the total CO₂ impact (production + transport) per ton of binder is known, we simply prolong horizontally the straight line of Quadrant 1 to the intersection with the straight line corresponding to the chosen impact: the CO₂ impact of binder per m³ of treated soil can then be read directly on the other axis of Quadrant 2.




Figure 34: Soil treatment zone – Binder CO₂ impact quadrant

If the total CO₂ impact per ton of binder is not known or if the user wishes to accurately determine this impact with the local data at hand, he may refer to the diagram of figure 35.




Figure 35: diagram of evaluation of binder CO₂ impact (production + transport)

Ce When the transport distance between the cement plant and the construction site is known, as well as the transport CO₂ impact in t.km and the CO₂ impact for production of a ton of binder, this diagram help determine, successively, the transport CO₂ impact and the total production + transport CO₂ impact.

The total CO₂ impact is then transferred on Quadrant 2, which will allow deducing the CO₂ impact per m³ of treated soil.

4.1.2.1 - Transport CO₂ impact

When the transport CO₂ impact in t.km is not known, the user is able to calculate it using the following formula:

$$F(\text{CO}_2, D) = \frac{\text{Consumption per 100 km} \times 2.5}{\text{Truck load capacity} \times 100}$$

With:

Consumption per 100 km

- 16-ton truck: 29 litres of fuel
- 29-ton truck: 36 litres of fuel
- 40-ton truck: 40 litres of fuel

Truck load capacity

- 16-ton truck: 8-ton load capacity
- 29-ton truck: 16-ton load capacity
- 40-ton truck: 20-ton load capacity

Coefficient 2.5: his is the quantity of CO₂ equivalent (in kg) released by the combustion of a litre of fuel

Coefficient 100: for 100 km

4.1.2.2 - Binder production CO₂ impact

When the CO₂ impact per ton of binder is not known, we can use the values given as an indication in the table 7.

Binder	CO ₂ impact (kg CO ₂ eq./t binder)
CEM I	868*
CEM II	650*
Hydraulic Road Binder HRB 70% Slag	294*
Hydraulic Road Binder HRB 50% Slag	459*
Hydraulic Road Binder HRB 30% Slag	625*
Hydraulic Road Binder HRB 30% Limestone	614*
Hydraulic Road Binder HRB 30% Fly Ash	613*
Quicklime	1 059**

* Source: ATILH

** Source: Union des Producteurs de Chaux

Table 7: binder production CO₂ impact

To obtain the right CO₂ impact per ton of a given product, we invite you to contact directly the binder's producer.

■ 4.1.3 - Quadrant 3

It relates to the CO₂ impact of placement.

In this Quadrant we see parallel straight lines that correspond to different hypothesis relating to the CO₂ impacts of placement equipment (spreader, mixer, sprinkler, compactor, grader).

These straight lines were drawn to include the combined CO₂ impacts of Quadrants 2 and 3: they are thus tilted by an angle of 45° and have ordinates at the origin equivalent to their own values (figure 36).

As the CO₂ impact value of the binder per m³ of treated soil has been determined by Quadrant 2, we simply prolong vertically and upward the straight line obtained, to the intersection with the straight line that represents the CO₂ impact of placement equipment: the total combined impact per m³ of treated soil can then be read directly on the other axis of Quadrant 3.

It is this value that will be considered in order to compare the total CO₂ impact of the Soil treatment technique and the total CO₂ impact of the unbound granular materials technique, for use in embankments.




Figure 36: Soil treatment zone – Placement CO₂ impact quadrant

If the CO₂ impact of placement equipment is not known, we can use the following calculation method:

$$\text{CO}_2 \text{ Impact} = 2.5 L$$

With:

CO₂ Impact: quantity of CO₂ equivalent for placement of a m³ of treated soil (kg CO₂ equivalent)

Coefficient 2.5: quantity of CO₂ equivalent released by the combustion of a litre of fuel

L: fuel consumption of all equipment used for placement of treated soil (for 1 m³). **L** values are given in the table 8.

L	Soil
0,7	Silty/sandy soil
0,8	Clay soil
0,9	Gravelly soil
1,0	Packed and difficult soil
> 1,0	Bouldery soil

Table 8: fuel consumption of all equipment used for treated Soil, according to soil nature

■ 4.1.4 - Quadrant 4

Using a simple geometrical construction (Thales' theorem), this quadrant enables to go from the CO₂ impact per m³ of treated soil to the CO₂ impact per m² of treated soil (figure 37).




Figure 37: Soil treatment zone – Quadrant of total CO₂ impact (/m³ et /m²)

It is this value that will be considered to compare the total CO₂ impact of the Soil treatment technique and the total CO₂ impact of the unbound granular materials technique, for use in capping layers.



4.2 - Study of Zone 2 – Unbound granular materials

This zone is divided into 4 quadrants numbered 5, 6, 7 and 8. Here are the main characteristics of each of these quadrants.

■ 4.2.1 - Quadrant 5

It measures the CO₂ impact of the following materials:

- Unbound Granular materials, from the quarry to the construction site,
- Surplus soil (whose volume is supposed, in this document, equivalent to that of unbound granular materials), from the construction site to the tip.

The straight lines of this Quadrant go through the origin and represent the CO₂ impact (expressed in kg CO₂ equivalent) of the various transport modes used (figure 38).

For a given project, knowing the distance between quarry and worksite as well as the distance between site and tip, we define an equivalent transport distance, i.e. the addition of quarry-site distance and site-tip distance. Once this equivalent distance is determined, and knowing the transport impact per m³.km, we use this Quadrant to read off the transport CO₂ impact per m³ of materials, as indicated by the red line on the graph below.




Figure 38: Unbound granular materials zone – Materials transport CO₂ impact quadrant

When the transport CO₂ impact per m³.km is not known, the user is able to calculate it using the following formula:

$$F (\text{CO}_2, \text{D}) = \frac{\text{Consumption per 100 km} \times 2.5 \times 2.2}{\text{Truck load capacity} \times 100}$$

With:

Consumption per 100 km

16-ton truck: 29 litres of fuel

29-ton truck: 36 litres of fuel

40-ton truck: 40 litres of fuel

Truck load capacity

16-ton truck: 8-ton load capacity

29-ton truck: 16-ton load capacity

40-ton truck: 20-ton load capacity

Coefficient 2.5: this is the quantity of CO₂ equivalent (in kg) released by the combustion of a litre of fuel

Coefficient 100: for 100 km

Coefficient 2.2: density of aggregates



■ 4.2.2 - Quadrant 6

It measures the CO₂ impact of extraction and production for a m³ of aggregates.

In this Quadrant we see several parallel straight lines, corresponding to the CO₂ impacts of various types of Unbound granular materials (rolled aggregate, crushed aggregate, hard rock, soft rock...).

These straight lines were drawn to include the combined CO₂ impacts of Quadrants 5 and 6: they are thus tilted by an angle of 45° and have ordinates at the origin equivalent to their own values (figure 39).




Figure 39: Unbound granular materials zone – Quadrant of aggregates extraction and production CO₂ impact

As the transport CO₂ impact has been determined at Quadrant 5 and as the extraction and production CO₂ impacts are known locally within the scope of this project, Quadrant 6 enables to calculate the combined CO₂ impact of materials transport and of extraction and production of aggregates.

■ 4.2.3 - Quadrant 7

It measures placement CO₂ impact of Unbound granular materials.

In this Quadrant are parallel straight lines that correspond to different hypothesis relating to the CO₂ impacts of placement equipment (grader, sprinkler, compactor).

These straight lines were drawn to include the combined CO₂ impacts of Quadrants 5, 6 and 7: they are thus tilted by an angle of 45° and have ordinates at the origin equivalent to their own values (figure 40).

As the CO₂ impact of extraction, production and transport has been determined at Quadrant 6, and as the placement CO₂ impact is known locally within the scope of this project, Quadrant 7 enables to evaluate the combined total CO₂ impact for materials transport and for extraction, production, transport and placement of aggregates.

It is this value that will be considered in order to compare the total CO₂ impact of the Unbound granular materials technique and total CO₂ impact of the Soil treatment technique, for use in embankments.




Figure 40: Unbound granular materials zone – Quadrant of aggregates placement CO₂ impact

■ 4.2.4 - Quadrant 8

Using a simple geometrical construction (Thales' theorem), this quadrant enables to go from the CO₂ impact per m³ of unbound granular materials to the CO₂ impact per m² of unbound granular materials (figure 41).

It is this value that will be considered to compare the total CO₂ impact of the unbound granular materials technique and the total CO₂ impact of the Soil treatment technique, for use in capping layers.




Figure 41: Unbound granular materials zone – Quadrant of total CO₂ impact (/m³ et /m²)



4.3 - Conclusion

By applying this method on the 4 Quadrants of Zone 1 and on the 4 Quadrants of Zone 2 we can compare the CO₂ impacts of the Soil treatment technique and the CO₂ impacts of the unbound granular materials technique.

For use in **embankments**, the comparison is made per m³ of material (figure 42).




Figure 42: environmental comparison diagram (CO₂ impact) – Embankments case

For use in **capping layers**, the comparison is made per m² of material (figure 43).





Figure 43: environmental comparison diagram (CO₂ impact) – Capping layers case

SOIL TREATMENT VS UNBOUND GRANULAR MATERIALS ENVIRONMENT COMPARISSON - CO₂ INDICATOR



PLACEMENT CO₂ IMPACT OF TREATED SOIL
(kg CO₂ eq./m³)

Treated soil Total CO₂ Impact (kg CO₂ eq./m³)

④

⑧

AGGREGATES PLACEMENT CO₂ IMPACT (kg CO₂ eq./m³)

Materials transport and aggregates production CO₂ Impact (kg CO₂ eq./m³)

③

Binder CO₂ Impact Production + transport (kg CO₂ eq./m³)

Binder proportion (%)

Aggregates (cm)

⑦

②

BINDER CO₂ IMPACT PRODUCTION + TRANSPORT (kg CO₂ eq./t)

Binder quantity (kg/m³ of soil)

DRY DENSITY OF MATERIAL TO BE TREATED (t/m³)

MATERIALS TRANSPORT CO₂ IMPACT (kg CO₂ eq./m³.km)

Materials transport CO₂ impact (kg CO₂ eq./m³)

AGGREGATES PRODUCTION CO₂ IMPACT (kg CO₂ eq./m³)


①

⑤

⑥

To make your own studies on Environment comparison – CO₂ indicator between the Soil treatment technique and the Unbound granular materials technique, you can simply photocopy the unmarked graph on page 69, add data specific to your study and read the result you need on the graph.

Diagram of evaluation of binder CO₂ impact (production + transport)



SOIL TREATMENT VS UNBOUND GRANULAR MATERIALS ENVIRONMENT COMPARISON - CO₂ INDICATOR

PLACEMENT CO₂ IMPACT OF TREATED SOIL (kg CO₂ eq./m³)

10,0
7,5
5,0
2,5
0

Treated soil Total CO₂ impact (kg CO₂ eq./m³)

120
110
100
90
80
70
60
50
40
30
20
10

④

Binder CO₂ impact Production + transport (kg CO₂ eq./m³)

②

Binder quantity (kg/m³ of soil)

1200
1100
1000
900

800 700 600 500 400 300 200 100

BINDER CO₂ IMPACT PRODUCTION + TRANSPORT (kg CO₂ eq./t)

DRY DENSITY OF MATERIAL TO BE TREATED (t/m³)

2,4 2,2 2,0
1,8
1,6
1,4 t/m³

①

Treated soil (cm)

90 80 70 60 50 40 30 20 10

Aggregates (cm)

10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 90

Unbound granular materials total CO₂ impact (kg CO₂ eq./m³)

⑧

Materials transport and aggregates production CO₂ impact (kg CO₂ eq./m³)

AGGREGATES PLACEMENT CO₂ IMPACT (kg CO₂ eq./m³)

1,1
1,0
0,9
0,8

⑦

Materials transport CO₂ impact (kg CO₂ eq./m³)

MATERIALS TRANSPORT CO₂ IMPACT (kg CO₂ eq./m³.km)

⑤

AGGREGATES PRODUCTION CO₂ IMPACT (kg CO₂ eq./m³)

⑥

Chapter

5

General conclusion

This study aims to offer a simple visual method that will help users make decisions as regards the choice of construction techniques, in the field of road earthworks.

It concerns the three impacts or indicators which are nowadays considered as most important: Economic, Energy and CO₂.

To supplement this study, other impacts or indicators may be studied in the future: water, natural resources, waste materials, acidification, eutrophication, ecotoxicity, human toxicity...



Pictures credit

Romualda Holak, Cimbéton, X
All rights reserved

Layout

Dorothee Picard

Published by

Îlot Trésor
RCS Paris B 408 745 149

First Edition November 2009



CENTRE D'INFORMATION SUR LE CIMENT ET SES APPLICATIONS

7, place de la Défense • 92974 Paris-la-Défense Cedex • Tél. : 01 55 23 01 00 • Fax : 01 55 23 01 10

E-mail : centrinfo@cimbeton.net • internet : www.infociments.fr